

ON THE NONEXISTENCE OF A RELATION BETWEEN σ -LEFT-POROSITY AND σ -RIGHT-POROSITY

MARTIN RMOUTIL

ABSTRACT. The present article deals with the relation between σ -left-porosity and right-porosity. However, we work with a notion of porosity controlled by a function, which can be, in a sense, arbitrarily strong (or weak). For given functions f and g , we construct a closed subset of the real line which is right- $[g]$ -porous and is not σ -left- $[f]$ -porous.

1. INTRODUCTION

The topic of this paper originates in the work [1] of R. J. Najáres and L. Zajíček where the following theorem is proved:

Theorem NZ. *There exists a closed set $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ which is right-porous and is not σ -left-porous.*

We aim to prove the stronger Theorem 3.1 which shows that, as long as we work with a reasonable notion of upper porosity (i.e. porosity defined by $\limsup$ or some equivalent), there is no connection between σ -left-porosity and right-porosity. The proof is based on the ideas from [1], but is slightly more technical and contains some new concepts. The main difference lies in our usage of the *multi-expansions* of real numbers (see 2.4) as opposed to the ordinary decimal expansion used by Najáres and Zajíček. When we have chosen a suitable multi-expansion, however, the rest of the proof follows a scheme identical to that from [1].

For our method to work, we also need to use the right definition of porosity controlled by a function. The one which suits our purpose the best is $[g]$ -porosity (see Definition 1.4), but that is not a standard notion. For that reason we also give the more common Definitions 1.2 and 1.3, together with Proposition 1.7 which allows us to extend the validity of our main Theorem 3.1 to the more standard setting.

Note that a porosity controlled by a function can be defined in various ways (see e.g. [3] or [2]) and the notation is not unified. Thus, for example, the notion of $[g]$ -porosity we choose to work with is different from that of [3]. However, finding relations between different definitions is usually quite simple and is not our aim in this article.

1.1. Notation. We use the following notation:

- G is the set of all increasing continuous functions $f : (0, \delta) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ (where $\delta > 0$) such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0+} f(x) = 0$.
- G_1 is the set of all $f \in G$ such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0+} \frac{f(x)}{x} > 0$.
- G_2 is the set of all $f \in G$ such that $f(x) > x$ for each x from the domain of f .
- G_3 is the set of all $f \in G$ such that $f(x) < x$ for each x from the domain of f .

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26A99, 28A05.

Key words and phrases. σ -porous set, right-porous set, (f) -porous set, Foran system.

The work was supported by the grant GAČR P201/12/0290.

1.2. Definition ((g) -porosity). Let $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a set and let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. We denote by $\lambda(M, I)$ the length of the largest open subinterval of I which is disjoint with M . For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g \in G_1$ we set

$$p_g^+(M, x) := \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0_+} \frac{g(\lambda(M, (x, x+h)))}{h},$$

$$p_g^-(M, x) := \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0_+} \frac{g(\lambda(M, (x-h, x)))}{h}.$$

We say M is *right- (g) -porous at x* if $p_g^+(M, x) > 0$.

1.3. Definition ($\langle g \rangle$ -porosity). Let $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a set, let $r, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $0 < r < \delta$ and let $g \in G_2$ be defined on $(0, \delta)$. Denote

$$S^+(g, r, M) := \bigcup \{(y - g(\sigma), y); y \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma \in (0, r), (y - \sigma, y) \cap M = \emptyset\}.$$

We say the set M is *right- $\langle g \rangle$ -porous at x* if

$$x \in \bigcap_{0 < r < \delta} S^+(g, r, M).$$

1.4. Definition ($[g]$ -porosity). Let $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g \in G_3$. We say the set M is *right- $[g]$ -porous at x* if there exists a sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) The sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is decreasing and it tends to x .
- (ii) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $(x + g(a_n - x), a_n) \cap M = \emptyset$.

Of course, definitions 1.2, 1.3, and 1.4 can be stated “for the left side” in the obvious symmetrical way.

1.5. Definition. Let $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, let $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and assume V is one of the symbols (g) , $\langle g \rangle$, $[g]$. We say the set M is

- *V-porous at x* if it is left- V -porous at x or right- V -porous at x ,
- *V-porous* if it is V -porous at each of its points,
- *right- V -porous* if it is right- V -porous at each of its points,
- *σ - V -porous* if it is a countable union of V -porous sets,
- *σ -right- V -porous* if it is a countable union of right- V -porous sets.

The following proposition deals (to some extent) with the relation of the previously defined notions of porosity. In order to formulate it in a briefer form, we need a special notation:

1.6. Notation.

- If $g \in G_1$, we write ^1g instead of (g) .
- If $g \in G_2$, we write ^2g instead of $\langle g \rangle$.
- If $g \in G_3$, we write ^3g instead of $[g]$.

1.7. Proposition. Let $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and let $f \in G_i$. Then:

- (i) If $j \neq 1$, then there is a function $g \in G_j$ such that whenever $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is right- ^jg -porous (resp. left- ^jg -porous), then M is right- ^if -porous (resp. left- ^if -porous).
- (ii) There exists a function $h \in G_j$ such that whenever $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is right- ^if -porous (resp. left- ^if -porous), then M is right- ^jh -porous (resp. left- ^jh -porous).

We omit the proof as it is completely straightforward but quite long. The sole purpose of this proposition in the article is to show that Theorem 3.1, which is formulated with the non-standard $[f]$ -porosity, is also true with other kinds of porosity controlled by a function. (In fact, to see this, we only need to consider the case $j = 3$.)

1.8. Remark. The part (i) of Proposition 1.7 says that, for any given type of porosity controlled by a given function, a function $g \in G_j$ can be found such that the notion of right- jg -porosity “is stronger”. We can not include the case $j = 1$, because for $g(x) = x$ the ^1g -porosity is actually the strongest possible, and that is the ordinary upper porosity. For the other two cases, however, we can obtain (in some sense) arbitrarily strong notions of porosity.

We will use the notion of Foran system and the Foran lemma; however, our proof does not require the most general version, and so we state the definition accordingly simplified. The general version for any porosity-like relation in a topologically complete metric space and G_δ -sets (instead of closed sets) can be found in the article [4].

1.9. Definition. Let $g \in G_3$. We say that $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^\mathbb{R}$ is a *Foran system for left-[g]-porosity* if the following conditions hold:

- (a) $\mathcal{F}$ is a nonempty family of nonempty closed sets.
- (b) For each $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and each open set $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with $F \cap G \neq \emptyset$, there exists a set $F^* \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $F^* \subseteq F \cap G$ and F is left-[g]-porous at no point of F^* .

1.10. Lemma. Let $g \in G_3$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Foran system for left-[g]-porosity. Then no set from $\mathcal{F}$ is σ -left-[g]-porous.

2. PRELIMINARIES TO THE PROOF

2.1. Notation.

- Let $x \in (0, 1)$. As usual, we write $x = 0, a_1 a_2 \dots$ if $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i 10^{-i}$ and $a_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. In case x has two expansions, we always take the one which ends with zeros and then we denote $a_i(x) := a_i$.
- For an $x \in (0, 1)$ we put $l(x) := \sup\{k \in \mathbb{N}; a_k(x) \neq 0\}$.
- We denote by $\mathbb{A}$ the set of all $x \in (0, 1)$ with $l(x) < \infty$.

2.2. Lemma. Let $f \in G_3$. Then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq (0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{A}$ with the following properties:

- (i) The sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is decreasing and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0$.
- (ii) The sequence of natural numbers $\{l(x_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is increasing.
- (iii) Let $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and let the following proposition be true:

$$(\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N})(\forall n > n_0) : x + x_n \in M \vee x + x_{n+1} \in M. \quad (1)$$

Then M is not right-[f]-porous at x .

Proof. Let $f \in G_3$ be defined on the interval $(0, \delta)$. For $x \in (0, \delta)$ define $\alpha(x) := x - f(x)$, and for $x \in (0, \frac{\delta}{2})$

$$\beta(x) := \min \alpha \left(\left[x, \frac{\delta}{2} \right] \right).$$

Then β is a non-decreasing continuous function with $0 < \beta \leq \alpha$ on $(0, \frac{\delta}{2})$. Let us now define the function $g : (0, \frac{\delta}{2}) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ by the formula

$$g(x) := x - \beta^2(x). \quad (2)$$

Obviously $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \beta(x) = 0$, so we can find a $\delta_1 \in (0, \frac{\delta}{2})$ such that, for each $x \in (0, \delta_1)$, we have $\beta(x) < \frac{1}{2}$ and thus

$$\beta^2(x) < \frac{1}{2}\beta(x) \leq \frac{1}{2}\alpha(x). \quad (3)$$

Recall that $g \in G_3$, and hence $g(x) < x$, for all $x \in (0, \delta)$. We obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} g(g(x)) &\stackrel{(2)}{=} g(x) - \beta^2(g(x)) \stackrel{(2)}{=} x - \beta^2(x) - \beta^2(g(x)) \geq \\ &\geq x - 2\beta^2(x) \stackrel{(3)}{>} x - \alpha(x) = f(x). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

We shall now construct the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq (0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{A}$ by induction. First, choose an arbitrary $x_1 \in (0, \delta_1) \cap \mathbb{A}$. Now assume we have already chosen the number x_n and take some

$$y \in \left(g(x_n), g(x_n) + \frac{x_n - g(x_n)}{n+1}\right) \cap \mathbb{A} \quad (5)$$

such that $l(y) > l(x_n)$. We set $x_{n+1} := y$.

We now have a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ satisfying condition (ii) and it remains to be shown it also satisfies (i) and (iii).

(i): It is obvious the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is decreasing and positive. Hence it has a non-negative limit c ; assume for contradiction that $c > 0$. Set

$$m := \beta^2(c) \quad \text{and} \quad M := \beta^2\left(\frac{c + \frac{\delta}{2}}{2}\right),$$

and find an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$x_{n_0} < \min\left\{c + m - \frac{M}{n_0 + 1}, \frac{c + \frac{\delta}{2}}{2}\right\}.$$

The following estimate gives a contradiction:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n_0+1} &\stackrel{(5)}{<} g(x_{n_0}) + \frac{x_{n_0} - g(x_{n_0})}{n_0 + 1} \stackrel{(2)}{=} x_{n_0} - \beta^2(x_{n_0}) + \frac{\beta^2(x_{n_0})}{n_0 + 1} \leq \\ &\leq x_{n_0} - \beta^2(x_{n_0}) + \frac{M}{n_0 + 1} \leq x_{n_0} - m + \frac{M}{n_0 + 1} < c. \end{aligned}$$

(iii): Let us have a set $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and a point $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that (1) is true; let us take some n_0 from (1). Then we have $x + x_{n_0+1} \in M$ or $x + x_{n_0+2} \in M$. Now choose an arbitrary $y \in (x, x + x_{n_0+2})$ and find the largest $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $y \leq x + x_{n_1}$. Now:

$$f(y - x) \stackrel{(4)}{<} g(g(y - x)) \leq g(g(x_{n_1})) \stackrel{(5)}{<} x_{n_1+2} < x_{n_1+1} < y - x.$$

Setting $I := (x + f(y - x), y)$ we obtain that $x + x_{n_1+1} \in M \cap I$ or $x + x_{n_1+2} \in M \cap I$ and hence, by the definition, M is not right-[f]-porous at x . $\square$

2.3. Remark. It is obvious that the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with (i), (ii), (iii) from the previous lemma also has the following property.

(iv) Let $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and let the following proposition be true:

$$(\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N})(\forall n > n_0) : x - x_n \in M \vee x - x_{n+1} \in M. \quad (6)$$

Then M is not left-[f]-porous at x .

2.4. Definition. Let $\{d_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a sequence. We denote $D_0 := 0$ and then for a number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we set

$$D_n := \sum_{k=1}^n d_k. \quad (7)$$

For an $x \in (0, 1)$ we define the *multi-digit expansion of x with respect to $\{d_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$* as the sequence $\{b_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$, where for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$b_n(x) := \sum_{k=1+D_{n-1}}^{D_n} 10^{D_n-k} a_k(x). \quad (8)$$

The sequence $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of functions defined on $(0, 1)$ by (8) is called the *multi-digit expansion with respect to $\{d_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$* . We will use the symbols

$$\begin{aligned} C(x, n) &:= \# \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N}; n^2 < k \leq (n+1)^2, b_k(x) = 10^{d_k} - 1 \right\}, \\ E(x, n) &:= \# \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N}; n^2 < k \leq (n+1)^2, b_k(x) \neq 10^{d_k} - 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

2.5. Remark. Let us have a multi-digit expansion $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with respect to some sequence $\{d_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and a real number $x \in (0, 1)$. Then, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $b_n(x) \in \{0, 1, \dots, 10^{d_n} - 1\}$. We can also observe that $x \in (0, 1)$ can be written in the form

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n(x) \cdot 10^{-D_n}.$$

Note that we still respect the convention from the first point of 2.1; hence $b_n(x) \neq 10^{d_n} - 1$ for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

2.6. Convention. In the following we shall often say shortly *multi-expansion* instead of *multi-digit expansion with respect to $\{d_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$* as we will only work with a single sequence $\{d_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. The functions b_n will also be called *multi-digits*.

3. MAIN RESULT

3.1. Theorem. Let $f, g \in G_3$. Then there exists a closed set $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ which is right-[g]-porous and is not σ -left-[f]-porous.

Proof. Let the two functions $f, g \in G_3$ be given. First we need to choose the multi-expansion we will work with in the rest of the proof. That is, we need to find a suitable (according to the functions f and g) sequence $\{d_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. Using the multi-expansion we will then define a Foran system $\mathcal{F}$ for left-[f]-porosity with right-[g]-porous elements. According to Lemma 1.10, any set $F \in \mathcal{F}$ will have the desired properties.

Multi-expansion: Take the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ from Lemma 2.2 for f ; this sequence will remain fixed till the end of the proof. We shall now define the sequence $\{d_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ by induction, respecting the notation from Definition 2.4. At the same time we also inductively define the multi-expansion $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ by the formula (8).

Set $d_1 := \min\{k \in \mathbb{N}; a_k(x_1) \neq 0\}$. Now suppose we have already chosen the numbers $d_k \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $k \leq n$. Set $k_0 := \max\{k \in \mathbb{N}; b_n(x_k) \neq 0\}$ and choose a natural number d_{n+1} such that the following conditions hold:

- $d_{n+1} > d_n$,
- $g(10^{-D_n}) > 10^{-D_{n+1}}$,
- $D_{n+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} d_k > \max(\max\{l(x_k); b_n(x_k) \neq 0\}, l(x_{k_0+1}))$.

These conditions can be met, since $x_n \in \mathbb{A}$ for all n and the sequence tends to zero.

Hence, we have a fixed multi-expansion $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. Observe that each member of the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ either has only one non-zero multi-digit or it has two consecutive non-zero multi-digits. We will also use the easy fact that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\max\{k; b_k(x_{n+1}) \neq 0\} \leq \max\{k; b_k(x_n) \neq 0\} + 1$.

The sets: Fix an arbitrary $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and multi-digits $B_k \in \{0, 1, \dots, 10^{d_k} - 1\}$ ($k \in \{1, \dots, N^2\}$), the set $A(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{N^2}, \varepsilon)$ is defined as the set of all $x \in (0, 1)$ which satisfy the following conditions:

$$(A1) \quad b_1(x) = B_1, b_2(x) = B_2, \dots, b_{N^2}(x) = B_{N^2}.$$

$$(A2) \quad 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{n^\alpha} \leq \frac{C(x, n)}{2n+1} < 1 \quad \text{whenever} \quad n \geq N.$$

We now aim to prove that if

$$N > \max\left\{(1 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}\right\}, \quad (9)$$

then the set $A(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{N^2}, \varepsilon)$ is nonempty and closed.

The sets are closed: We will show that each set of the form $A := A(B_1, \dots, B_{N^2}, \varepsilon)$ is closed. To that end, take a convergent sequence $\{y_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \subseteq A$ with limit y . We want to check that $y \in A$.

Condition **(A2)** clearly implies the existence of an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for each $n \geq n_0$,

$$C(x, n) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E(x, n) \neq 0. \quad (10)$$

Choose an arbitrary $n \geq n_0$ and find some $m(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|y_{m(n)} - y| < 10^{-D} \quad \text{where} \quad D := D_{(n+1)^2+1}.$$

It is now easy to see that (10) gives $b_k(y_{m(n)}) = b_k(y)$ for each $k \leq n^2$. But since the number n was chosen arbitrarily and the corresponding $y_{m(n)}$ is an element of A , it follows from the definition of A that $y \in A$.

The sets are nonempty: Condition **(A2)** from the definition of $A(B_1, \dots, B_{N^2}, \varepsilon)$ is equivalent to the statement

$$C(x, n) \in \left[\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{n^\alpha}\right)(2n+1), 2n+1\right) =: I_n, \quad \text{for each } n \geq N.$$

Assume $n \geq N$; then (9) gives the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{n^\alpha}\right)(2n+1) &\stackrel{(9)}{>} \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}\right)(2(1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})^{(0 < \alpha < 1)} > 2, \\ (2n+1) - \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{n^\alpha}\right)(2n+1) &= \frac{\varepsilon}{n^\alpha}(2n+1) > 2\varepsilon n^{1-\alpha} \stackrel{(9)}{>} 2. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

These two inequalities imply that the interval I_n contains some natural number. Hence, the set $A(B_1, \dots, B_{N^2}, \varepsilon)$ is nonempty, whenever N fulfills condition (9).

Foran system: Denote by $\mathcal{F}$ the system of all sets of the form $A(B_1, \dots, B_{N^2}, \varepsilon)$ with N satisfying (9). We already know that $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies the first condition from the definition of Foran system 1.9. We claim that condition (b) from 1.9 is also true for $\mathcal{F}$.

To prove it, take an arbitrary set $F := A(B_1, \dots, B_{N^2}, \varepsilon) \in \mathcal{F}$ and an open set $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with $F \cap G \neq \emptyset$. Now choose any point $y \in F \cap G$ and a natural number M such that

$$M > \max \left\{ N, \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad (12)$$

$$F^* := A \left(B_1, \dots, B_{N^2}, b_{N^2+1}(y), \dots, b_{M^2}(y), \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) \subseteq F \cap G.$$

It is easy to see that such an M , indeed, exists. Hence, we have the set F^* which clearly belongs to $\mathcal{F}$. We now need to show that the set F is left-[f]-porous at no point of F^* .

Let us fix an arbitrary point $z \in F^*$. As the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ has the property (iv) from Remark 2.3, it is sufficient to find a $p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for each $p > p_0$, at least one of the points $z_p^- := z - x_p$ and $z_{p+1}^- := z - x_{p+1}$ belongs to F . Find a $p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for each $p > p_0$,

$$x_p < 10^{-D} \quad \text{where} \quad D := D_{(M+1)^2+1}. \quad (13)$$

Now choose any $p > p_0$ and $x \in \{z_p^-, z_{p+1}^-\}$. The estimate (13) gives that $b_k(x_p) = 0$ for all $k \leq (M+1)^2$. We also know that each x_n has at most two non-zero multi-digits and it follows that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$C(x, n) \geq C(z, n) - 2. \quad (14)$$

Further, since $z \in F^*$, we have $C(z, M) > 0$ (we even know that $C(z, M) > 2$) and this yields that, for each $k \leq M^2$, $b_k(x) = b_k(z)$. In particular, x satisfies the condition **(A1)** from the definition of $F = A(B_1, \dots, B_{N^2}, \varepsilon)$.

We now turn our attention to condition **(A2)**. The following estimate holds for $n \geq M$:

$$\frac{2}{2n+1} = \frac{\varepsilon \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha-1}}}{2n+1} \stackrel{(12)}{<} \frac{\varepsilon n^{1-\alpha}}{2n+1} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2n^\alpha} \quad (15)$$

Thus, for $n \geq M$, we obtain

$$\frac{C(x, n)}{2n+1} \stackrel{(14)}{\geq} \frac{C(z, n) - 2}{2n+1} \stackrel{(z \in F^*)}{\geq} 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2n^\alpha} - \frac{2}{2n+1} \stackrel{(15)}{>} 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{n^\alpha}.$$

It remains to be shown that, for $x = z_p^-$ or $x = z_{p+1}^-$,

$$E(x, n) \neq 0 \quad \text{for each } n \geq M.$$

To that end, assume that, for some $n \geq M$, $E(z_p^-, n) = 0$. Set $k_1 := \max\{m \in \mathbb{N}; b_m(x_p) \neq 0\}$ and $k_2 := \max\{m \in \mathbb{N}; b_m(x_{p+1}) \neq 0\}$. The way the multi-expansion was constructed implies (as we have observed) that $k_2 \in \{k_1, k_1 + 1\}$. We also noted that $b_m(x_p) = 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{k_1, k_1 - 1\}$. Taking into account that for each $m \geq M$ we have $C(z, m) > 2$, it is easy to see that

$$k_1 = n^2 + i \quad \text{where } i \in \{1, \dots, 2n-1\}, \quad (16)$$

$$b_m(z) = 10^{d_m} - 1 \quad \text{for each } m \in \{n^2 + i + 1, \dots, (n+1)^2\}. \quad (17)$$

We distinguish two cases. In case $k_2 = k_1$, we use the property (ii) of the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ which gives that $l(x_{p+1}) > l(x_p)$. It follows that $b_{k_1}(x_{p+1}) \neq b_{k_1}(x_p)$, and thus $b_{k_1}(z_{p+1}^-) \neq b_{k_1}(z_p^-) = 10^{d_{k_1}-1}$ which means that $E(z_{p+1}^-) \neq 0$. If, on the other hand, $k_2 = k_1 + 1$, then

from (16) and (17) it follows that $b_{k_1+1}(z_{p+1}^-) \neq 10^{d_{k_1+1}-1}$. Again, the conclusion is that $E(z_{p+1}^-) \neq 0$. Hence, $\mathcal{F}$ is a Foran system for left-[f]-porosity.

Right-[g]-porosity: To conclude the proof of the theorem we shall choose any set $F := A(B_1, \dots, B_{N^2}, \varepsilon) \in \mathcal{F}$ and prove it is right-[g]-porous.

Fix a point $x \in F$. For each $n \in N$, let m_n be the maximum of those $i \in \mathbb{N}$ for which there exist natural numbers u and v such that $v - u = i$,

$$n^2 \leq u < v \leq (n+1)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad b_s(x) = 10^{d_s} - 1 \quad \text{for each } u < s \leq v. \quad (18)$$

The following estimate is obvious:

$$2n+1 - E(x, n) = C(x, n) \leq m_n(E(x, n) + 1) \quad (19)$$

Moreover, condition **(A2)** from the definition of F implies that, for each $n \geq N$,

$$E(x, n) \leq \frac{\varepsilon(2n+1)}{n^\alpha}. \quad (20)$$

Hence, for all $n \geq N$ the following estimate holds:

$$\begin{aligned} m_n &\stackrel{(19)}{\geq} \frac{2n+1 - E(x, n)}{E(x, n) + 1} \stackrel{(20)}{\geq} \frac{(2n+1)(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{n^\alpha})}{\frac{\varepsilon(2n+1)+n^\alpha}{n^\alpha}} \stackrel{(9)}{\geq} \\ &\stackrel{(9)}{\geq} \frac{n^\alpha(2n+1)\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon(2n+1) + (2n+1)} = \frac{n^\alpha\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon} = \frac{n^\alpha}{(1+\varepsilon)^2} =: cn^\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Now, for each n , choose natural numbers u_n and v_n such that

$$v_n - u_n = m_n \quad \text{and} \quad (18) \text{ holds for } u = u_n, v = v_n.$$

Set $L_n := D_{v_n-1}$, $K_n := D_{v_n}$, and define

$$y_n := x + 10^{-K_n} \quad \text{and} \quad z_n := x + 10^{-L_n}. \quad (22)$$

For each $t \in (10^{-K_n}, 10^{-L_n})$, $\min\{k \in \mathbb{N}; b_k(t) \neq 0\} = v_n$. It is now easy to see that, for each $t \in (y_n, z_n)$ and each $u_n < k \leq v_n - 1$, we have $b_k(t) = 0$. Hence, for each $t \in (y_n, z_n)$,

$$C(t, n) \leq 2n+1 - (m_n - 1). \quad (23)$$

Since $\alpha > 1 - \alpha$, we can find an $n_0 \in N$ such that, for each natural $n > n_0$,

$$2n+2 - cn^\alpha < 2n+1 - 2\varepsilon n^{1-\alpha} - \varepsilon n^{-\alpha} = \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{n^\alpha}\right)(2n+1). \quad (24)$$

Now, for each $n > n_0$, we obtain the estimate

$$C(t, n) \stackrel{(23)}{\leq} 2n+1 - (m_n - 1) \stackrel{(21)}{\leq} 2n+2 - cn^\alpha \stackrel{(24)}{<} \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{n^\alpha}\right)(2n+1),$$

which implies that $t \notin F = A(B_1, \dots, B_{N^2}, \varepsilon, \alpha)$. Thus, for each $n > n_0$, $(y_n, z_n) \cap F = \emptyset$.

It only remains to recall the second condition from the construction of our multi-expansion. It says that

$$g(z_n - x) = g(10^{-L_n}) > 10^{-K_n} = y_n - x,$$

and hence we obtain the inclusion $(y_n, z_n) \supseteq (x + g(z_n - x), z_n)$. It follows that the set F is right-[g]-porous which concludes the proof. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] R.J. Najáres, Zajíček, *A σ -porous set need not be σ -bilaterally porous*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **35** (1994), 697-703.
 - [2] L. Zajíček, *Sets of σ -porosity and sets of σ -porosity (q)*, Časopis Pěst. Mat. **101** (1976), 350-359.
 - [3] L. Zajíček, *Porosity and σ -porosity*, Real Anal. Exchange **13** (1987/88), 314-350.
 - [4] L. Zajíček, *Products of non- σ -porous sets and Foran systems*, Atti Semin. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena, **44** (1996), 497-505.
 - [5] L. Zajíček, *On σ -porous sets in abstract spaces*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **5** (2005), 509-534.
- E-mail address:* mar@rmail.cz

CHARLES UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 75 PRAGUE 8, CZECH REPUBLIC